

**Village of Waterford  
Heritage District Design Committee  
Minutes of May 21, 2014**

Present: Bil Luth, Don Houston, Cheryl Rogers, Maureen Van der Sanden. Excused: Diane Lemanczyk, and April Lindau.

Also present: Gil Amborn, John Foley, Martin Montoya.

The meeting was called to order at 4:04 p.m.

Reading of the Minutes – There were none.

Old Business

Review and possible action on Curb Appeal Grant submitted by John Foley for 213 E. Main Street. This agenda item was tabled at the March 19, and April 16, 2014 meetings until Mr. Foley submits a new Grant application with correct receipts and a lien waiver. Mr. Foley's previous Grant application was denied. The only action taken at the April 16, 2014 meeting regarding this curb appeal grant was the approval of the colors that Mr. Foley submitted were approved. Immediately following the meeting Mr. Foley completed and submitted a new application for the repair to the cornice on the building including the cost of labor and material totaling \$9,375.00. After general discussion about the grant's guidelines and the extraordinary circumstances that caused a long delay for Mr. Foley to complete the submittal to the Committee, there seemed to be a general consensus to approve the grant. Motion made by Luth, seconded by Van der Sanden to approve the curb appeal grant for 217 E Main Street in the amount of \$3,345 as there were extraordinary circumstances that caused the delay in submitting the correct receipts and lien waiver. A vote from the committee members produced all Ayes, except Houston who opposed. Motion Carried.

New Business

Review and possible action on the sign for Absolutely Waterford. AW would like to place a sign on the front and side of the building they are located in and would like to discuss the Heritage District requirements before proceeding with obtaining a sign permit from the Village. The committee reviewed the expression line and the placement of the awning on the building. The Heritage district guidelines state that the sign must be placed in the expression line but the express Line on the building has an awning placed there. Motion made by Cheryl, and seconded by Bil to allow the sign to be placed close to the expression line as close as possible and this variance is due to the fact there is an awning prohibiting the sign to be placed in the expression line.

Motion made by Bill Luth and seconded by Cheryl Rogers to adjourn at 4:45 p.m.

Submitted by,  
Carrie Orlovsky, Clerk